DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION - OFFICE OF FISCAL SERVICES REQUEST and NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FROM PROCUREMENT PART I - REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM PROCUREMENT DOE Chief Procurement Officer (send completed original form to OFS Procurement and Contracts Branch) 1. TO: FROM: School Food Service Branch (Name of School or Program) The school/program named above requests a procurement exemption as follows below. 2. This exemption is requested pursuant to: 3. Island/District: ⊠ Statewide or S 103D-102(b)(4), HRS and Chapter 3-120, HAR or ■§103F-101(a)(4), HRS and Chapter 3-141, HAR Oahu ☐ Hawaii ☐ Kauai ☐ Maui 4. Description of the proposed purchase (attach additional sheets if necessary): Indicate one category: XIgood Service Construction Health/Human service professional service Brief description: Purchase, install and implement eTrition which is a web based enterprise wide management system for school nutrition programs. This purchase includes implementation project management and professional development. Vendor Information Term of Contract Harris School Solutions Name: From: 3/1/2014 7. Total Cost: Address: 2540 Warren Drive, Suite A 3/30/2016 \$2.5 Million Rocklin, CA 95677 8. Explanation describing how procurement by competitive means is either not practicable or not advantageous to the State (attach additional sheets if necessary): See attached. 9. Details of the process or procedure to be followed in selecting the vendor to ensure maximum fair and open competition as practicable (attach additional sheets if necessary): SFSB has researched/evaluated the products, services and feasibility of 2 other vendors, Mealtime and WinSNAP (School Link Technologies) that had been in use by 80 SFSB serving sites prior to their conversion (via bid) to Meal Tracker in SY 2012-13. However, based on the reasons stated in section #8, use of other vendors would not practicable nor advantageous.

DOE Form 7, rev. 9/2008 (ref. SPO Form 7)

P.E. No.: PE/D E13-171

Notice Posted on: ____ JAN 28 2014

P.E. No.: <u>PE/D E13-171</u>

| 10. A description of the school/program internal controls and approval requirements for the exempted procurement (attach additional sheets if necessary): See attached. | |
|--|---|
| 11. List of school/program personnel who will be inv | olved in the approval process and administration of the contract (attach |
| additional sheets if necessary): Name | Title Involvement in process |
| Glenna Owens | Title Involvement in process Director SFSB ☒ Approval ☒ Administration |
| Dexter Kishida | School Food Supervisor Approval Approval Administration |
| Lynn Hiratsuka | School Food Supervisor Approval Administration Approval Administration Approval Administration Approval Administration Approval Administration |
| 12. This exemption should be recommended to the Procurement Policy Board to be considered for the list of exemptions attached to Chapter 3-120 or 3-141, HAR: ☐ Yes ☒ No | |
| 13. I hereby certify that the information provided al | pove is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct; and that all |
| applicable statutory, administrative, policy and procedures have or shall be complied with. | |
| June Draw SFSB Director 1/27/14 | |
| Administrator's Signature & Title | Date |
| PART II - NOTICE | OF EXEMPTION FROM PROCUREMENT |
| The school/program named below intends to exempt the goods, services, or construction (as described in the request above) | |
| from procurement for good cause. The Chief Procurement Officer is in the process of reviewing this request. | |
| Inquiries and questions about this request may be di | rected to: Written objections to this Notice of Exemption must be |
| and the second s | received within seven (7) calendar days from the date this |
| Name/Title: Glenna Owens | notice was posted, and shall be directed to: |
| School/Program: School Food Services Brand | ch Chief Procurement Officer, Department of Education |
| Address: 1106 Koko Head Avenue | Procurement & Contracts Branch, OFS |
| Telephone No.: 808-737-8400 | 94-275 Mokuola Street Room 200 |
| email address: glenna_owens@notes.k12.h | |
| | Telephone Number: 808-675-0130 |
| | email address: DOEprocure@notes.k12.hi.us |
| Reserved for Procurement Office Use | |
| 14. Chief Procurement Officer's Comments: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 15. Approved | |
| Disapproved | |
| No Action Kathryn S. Matayoshi, | Superintendent & CPO Date |
| c: Administrator, State Procurement Office | |

REQUEST AND NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FROM PROCUREMENT

Item #8: Explanation describing how procurement by competitive means is either **not practicable or not advantageous** to the State

Procuring a new enterprise wide school nutrition management system by competitive means would not be practicable or advantageous to the DOE due to the following reasons:

- 1) The company which provides eTrition, Harris School Solutions (HSS), is currently the only enterprise wide school nutrition program management system provider with local technical support. Their current on island support staff (currently up to 6 available members) has built an immeasurable amount of school level experience and knowledge through years of working with individual schools, and have proven to be capable of providing a high level of responsiveness. They have established excellent working rapport with administrators and staff, and have developed extensive background knowledge of statewide cabling, network infrastructure, processes, and protocols which enables efficient engagement of DOE personnel for quick issue response and resolution. This local knowledge and previous experience has proven to be especially valuable during the installation process, resulting in smooth implementations and rapid resolutions of the inevitable "bumps in the road". Furthermore, the on island support staff provides cost effective (due to minimal travel required), in person training at the beginning and end of each school year, and makes best efforts to respond to onsite support requests the same day (for Oahu), or next day (for neighbor islands). If a new vendor is introduced at this time, they would need time and experience to build up the same level of local support and responsiveness, and their DOE systems and process learning curve would be steep, which would put additional requirements on DOE time and resources. This would also further delay implementation of corrective actions committed during SY2012-13 external and internal audits.
- 2) The additional costs related to converting to a new system, as well as the cost of non-conformance should the conversion result in the corruption of data and/or delays in data submission required for federal reimbursements.
 - eTrition is a web based, enterprise wide, management system for school nutrition programs. It is currently in use at 14 DOE school food service sites, and was created by HSS who also created the Meal Tracker Point of Service system (currently in use at 246 school serving sites). Transition of patron data from Meal Tracker to eTrition at the 14 aforementioned sites has been proven to be seamless. Since the method of data storage is proprietary to HSS, a new system would require time and effort to manually populate the household information for 60,000 household applications, costing the DOE approximately \$55,000.00 in overtime labor to re-input all data, versus utilizing eTrition which will require no re-inputting of data, and no additional costs.

- If a new system is procured, the 14 pilot schools already utilizing eTrition would need to be included in the change. This would increase overall costs and result in the abandonment of time and resources already invested in the successful pilot program.
- Should DOE purchase eTrition, past monthly meal counts and financial data from Meal Tracker will be viewable on eTrition. If another school nutrition program management system is purchased, a Meal Tracker and eTrition license will need to be purchased and kept at the School Food Services Branch (SFSB) to recall any historical data needed for a USDA, internal or external audits at an estimated product expense of \$15,000.00. Further, it will require additional personnel time to load backed up data individually by school. On the other hand, with eTrition we will be able to review previous years' data seamlessly and with little additional effort.
- The purchase of a unified, web based meal counting system is directly tied to corrective action for both the Coordinated Review Effort and Internal Audits conducted SY2012-2013. The sooner corrective action is completed the less likely fiscal penalties are levied against the branch, and increased oversight over operations can occur, giving SFSB accurate and timely information from each school. Accuracy of the information is important as systemic problems with the point of sale and meal counting and claiming is considered a Performance Standard 1 and can lead to withholding of reimbursements and other federal funding. In the past USDA reimbursements up to \$15 million have been withheld. It should also be noted that the state agency SFSB works with closely, the Hawaii Child Nutrition Programs (HCNP), has already reviewed and accepted the reporting provided by eTrition, so any changes could also negatively affect their productivity.
- 3) The introduction of a new vendor to implement and train all 260 serving sites on a completely new system would require additional time and personnel resources which the schools and SFSB cannot afford to provide.
 - When 80 schools converted to Meal Tracker to get the School Food Authority (SFA) on a unified point of service system (SY 2011-12, SY 2012-13), three (3) days per site were scheduled for training. If eTrition is purchased, only two (2) days would be scheduled as many of the school staff are already familiar with the system, and the install team has experience with the current Meal Tracker system, so they can easily relate the similarities and differences of the eTrition system. However, if a completely new system is introduced the DOE would likely have to incur approximately 260 additional training days for staff (impacting an avg. of 4 staff per serving site), further burdening school resources and increasing timelines. The potential cost of the additional training days is estimated at \$312,000 (8 hours at \$150/hr, x 260 sites). Also, School Food Supervisors and SFSB staff, who assist schools with their meal programs, would need additional training on troubleshooting problems, and building and reading necessary reports should a new system be utilized.

• Finally, a new system would need to be reviewed and approved by the TARC board which would delay efforts to address findings from the internal audit conducted in 2012-13. For all programs that require bandwidth or server space, Technology Architecture Review Committee (TARC) approval is necessary. HSS has previously presented before the TARC for the conversion to Meal Tracker and the 14 eTrition pilot schools. Preparation for the presentation took 2-3 months, working with a team of people from the Office of Information Technology Services (OITS), HSS and SFSB. HSS has a proven track record working with OITS and SFSB, which resulted in the TARC approval going very smoothly and a follow up meeting not being needed.

Item #10: A description of the school/program internal controls and approval requirements for the exempted procurement.

This request is limited to exemption from the competitive solicitation process only. All other procurement code requirements (ex. responsibility of offerors, contract, etc) will be followed. The School Food Supervisors will monitor the work of the Contractor via weekly status meetings, to include review of install progress reports. They will also conduct visits to install locations. Initially, the visits will be upon installation of the system at the first several schools, then move to a periodic and/or as needed basis as the install process normalizes.

School Food Supervisors will also certify and authorize invoices and payments. Payments to the vendor will be tied directly to the satisfactory completion of deliverables specified in the contract including an exit check list signed off by the onsite administrator of each installed location.

SFSB Director will also supervise the conversion process and provide monthly status update reports to the OSFSS Assistant Superintendent and/or the BOE, internal auditor and Hawaii Child Nutrition Programs.